

*

Tackling Complexity in the Heart of Software



What is domain-driven design?

- Placing the project's primary focus on the core domain and domain logic
- Basing complex designs on a model of the domain
- Initiating a creative collaboration between technical and domain experts to iteratively refine a conceptual model that addresses particular domain problems

Problem space		Solution space	
Domain	Business problem to be	Domain	Abstraction of a
	addressed	model	business problem
Sub-	Smaller part of the doma	Bounded	Delimits the domain
domain		context	model

The goal of a domain-driven design is an alignment between the domain and the software.

How do we identify subdomains?

- Business capability:
 - Insurance underwriting, claims, sales & marketing
- Organisational structure:
 - Insurance products home, motor, life, travel
 - Hospital departments GP, A&E, paediatrics, social care
- Organisational communication structures (Conway's Law)

Types of subdomains

- 1. Core domain
- 2. Supporting subdomain
- 3. Generic subdomain

Core domain

- Strategic investment in a single, well-defined domain model
- High value and priority
- The company's secret sauce to distinguish it from competitors

Supporting subdomain

- Custom development no off-the-shelf solution
- Consider outsourcing development

Generic subdomain

- Purchase off-the-shelf solution
- Outsource development
- Examples:
 - Accounting
 - CRM
 - Identity / authentication



Domain-driven comprises ...

- 1. Strategic design:
 - Ubiquitous language
 - Bounded context
 - Context map
 - Continuous integration

- 2. Tactical design:
 - Entity
 - Value object
 - Aggregate
 - Domain event
 - Service
 - Repository
 - Factory



Strategic design

Ubiquitous language

- A language used by all team members
- What is a **Policy**?
 - Underwriting context
 - Claims context
 - Marketing & sales context

A domain specific term can have multiple meanings.

Understanding the meaning of the word is dependent upon the context.

Bounded context Sales Context Opportunity Supp Customer Customer Pipeline Territory Product Product Sales Person

 Semantic contextual boundary for a model • Ubiquitous language is consistent *within* a bounded context Separate software artifacts for each bounded context • Keep the model strictly consistent within these bounds

Context map

- Define relationship and translation between bounded contexts (and ubiquitous languages)
- Kinds of mappings:
 - Partnership
 - Customer-supplier
 - Anticorruption layer
 - Published language

- Shared kernel
- Conformist
- Open host service
- Separate ways





- Each team responsible for one bounded context
- Aligned with a dependent set of goals
- Two teams will succeed or fail together
- Challenging relationship to maintain due to high synchronisation & committment

Shared kernel



- Teams share a small but common model
- Difficult to conceive and maintain due to aggreement on what is required

Customer-supplier



- *Supplier* is upstream and *customer* is downstream
- Supplier provides what the customer needs (but determines what & when)
- Typical relationship between teams witin an organisation

Conformist



- As customer-supplier, except upstream team has no motivation to support
 - the downstream team
- Downstream team cannot aford to translate the ubiquitous language, so conforms to upstream model as is

Anticorruption layer



- Most defensive mapping relationship
- Donstream team creates a translation layer between the upstream's model and its own
- Provides isolation between contexts, but translation costs may be too high

Open host service



- Define an interface or protocol that gives access to your bounded context
- "Open" protocol to allow anyone to integrate with relative ease
- Well documented service API
- Translation often not required by consumers





- Well-documented information exchange language
- Enables simple consumption and translation by any number of consumers
- Published language defined by a schema (e.g. XML Schema, JSON Schema) or wire format (e.g. Protobuf)

Separate ways

- Integration between contexts not worth the effort
- Implement your own specialised solution internally don't attempt to integrate



Tactical design

Aggregate, root, & entity



Entity

- Models an individual thing
- Has a unique identity
- Is mutable its state changes over time
- Examples:
 - Invoice
 - Line item
 - Customer

Value object

- Models just a value
- Doesn't have a unique identity
- Is immutable
- Equivalence is determined by its attributes
- Examples:
 - Address
 - Money

Aggregate

- Composed of one or more entities and value objects
- Forms a transactional consistency boundary
- One entity is called the **aggregate root**:
 - Owns all other elements clustered inside it
 - Access to the aggregate *must* go through the root entity
- Examples:
 - Invoice
 - Customer



transactional consistency
Business invariants must be protected within the boundary
Must be stored in a whole

Aggregate enforces

and valid state

 Allows concurrent transactions for different

aggregate instances

Four rules of aggregate design

- 1. Protect business invariants inside aggregate boundaries
- 2. Design small aggregates
- 3. Reference other aggregates by identity only
- 4. Update referenced aggregate using eventual consistency

Domain event

- Record of some business-significant occurrence in a bounded context
- Immutable facts
- Named in the past tense using the ubiquitous language
- Can be used for inter-service messaging
- Examples:
 - CustomerBilled
 - InvoicePaid

Service

- Contains domain operations that don't belong to an entity or value object
- Is stateless
- Examples:
 - Price calculation
 - Currency conversion

Repository

• Retrieve domain objects (aggregates) from storage



Create domain objects









Further reading

- <u>Domain-Driven Design: Tackling Complexity in the Heart of Software</u> by Eric Evans
- Domain-Driven Design Distilled by Vaughn Vernon
- Implementing Domain-Driven Design by Vaughn Vernon
- <u>Domain Driven Design Quickly</u> (free download)